

May 23, 2026

Court Ready Review
1706 Chester Ave., Suite 310C
Bakersfield, CA 93301
661-258-3769

[REDACTED]

Bakersfield, CA 93314

Re: In the Matter of [REDACTED], Case No. [REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED],

We looked through the documents you sent us. A few items appear worth checking before anything is filed or signed, so we listed them below in the order that may be most helpful.

Here are the items that may be worth checking before the documents are filed or signed:

1. The court-issued FL-982, page 1, appears to show that the request for publication was denied. The court's notation appears to say that the attempts to locate the respondent were insufficient and that no actual efforts had been made to serve at prior addresses or to look for the citee. Because this changes the apparent status of the earlier FL-980 request, the packet may be clearer if the filer, court clerk, or a licensed attorney confirms what document, if any, is intended to be prepared next.
2. The FL-980, page 2, appears to say that the search results are attached, and the box for "Search results attached" is checked. In the uploaded copy, Exhibit A appears to contain the declaration regarding the skip-trace efforts, but the underlying search-result pages do not appear to be included. Please check whether those search results were omitted from this copy or whether another attachment was intended.
3. The respondent's prior or last-known Kenseth Street address appears different in the packet. The FL-105, page 1, appears to list [REDACTED], while the FL-980, page 2, and the attached declaration appear to use [REDACTED]. These may refer to the same intended address, but the street number may be worth checking for consistency.
4. The FL-2325 Attachment 1, page 6 of the uploaded packet, includes the phrase "adopted by maternal mother" when describing a family relationship. That wording appears unclear and may make the relationship difficult to understand. Please check the intended relationship and revise the wording if this attachment will be reused.
5. The FL-2325 Attachment 1, page 6, appears to contain a sentence fragment in the paragraph listing the child's stepmother, stepsisters, step-grandparents, and paternal aunt. The capitalization of "Stepsisters" and "Step grandparents" also appears inconsistent within the sentence. The paragraph may be clearer if the family-member list is rewritten as a complete sentence with consistent capitalization.

6. The FL-2325 Attachment 2, page 7, begins with wording that appears to read, "Since approximately [REDACTED] was four years old." That phrase may be grammatically unclear. Please check the intended meaning and revise the sentence if this attachment will be reused.

Court Ready Review is happy to help you make these corrections, or you may make the corrections yourself. We can also efile the documents for you, or you may efile them directly at EFileSystem.com.

Please call us at 661-258-3769 if you would like our help.

Warmly,

Carla Renee Rivera
Court Ready Review

P.S. I thought a checklist might help you, so I've added one at no extra charge.

-
- ☐ Check the FL-982 denial notation and confirm with the filer, court clerk, or a licensed attorney what document, if any, is intended next.
 - ☐ Check whether the search-result pages referenced on FL-980 page 2 were omitted from the uploaded copy.
 - ☐ Check whether the Kenseth Street number should be 1704 or 11704 and make the repeated address consistent.
 - ☐ Check the intended family relationship described by the phrase "adopted by maternal mother" on FL-2325 Attachment 1.
 - ☐ Rewrite the family-member list on FL-2325 Attachment 1 as a complete sentence with consistent capitalization if the attachment will be reused.
 - ☐ Check and clarify the opening phrase "Since approximately [REDACTED] was four years old" on FL-2325 Attachment 2 if the attachment will be reused.

Please note: Court Ready Review is not a law firm, and our team members are not attorneys. This review is not legal advice. We looked only for apparent formatting, spelling, grammar, and consistency issues in the documents. We did not evaluate the legal claims, defenses, strategy, or whether the documents state or rely on the correct legal theories. Questions about legal rights, legal deadlines, legal procedures, or the legal effect of any document should be directed to a licensed attorney.