

May 23, 2026

Court Ready Review
1706 Chester Ave., Suite 310C
Bakersfield, CA 93301
661-258-3769

Deandre [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Re: [REDACTED] v. [REDACTED] Case No. [REDACTED]

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

We looked through the documents you sent us. A few items appear worth checking before anything is filed or signed, so we listed them below in the order that may be most helpful.

Here are the items that may be worth checking before the documents are filed or signed:

1. The third-party declaration appears to list your Fort Worth mailing ZIP code as "6179," while the intake and contract materials appear to use "76179." Please check the intended ZIP code and make the address consistent before the declaration is signed or used.
2. The third-party declaration appears to state that \$1,450 was paid for preparation of the listed documents. The contract materials in the packet appear to show a \$520 transaction and a later \$870 transaction, which total \$1,390. Please check which amount is intended and make the compensation entry consistent with the payment records.
3. The third-party declaration appears to list FL-320, FL-311, FL-105, FL-150, and a Supplemental Declaration as the documents prepared. The uploaded packet does not appear to include the FL-320, FL-150, or Supplemental Declaration. Please check whether those documents were omitted from this review copy or whether the declaration's document list should be updated.
4. The FL-105 in the October 30, 2025 Request for Order packet appears to show the case number as "BPT 20 002087," while the Request for Order, the rescheduling order, and other documents appear to use [REDACTED]. Please check the intended case number and make it consistent anywhere this form will be reused.
5. The third-party declaration says, "the amount of compensation paid ... were \$1,450." That sentence appears grammatically inconsistent because "amount" is singular. It may read more clearly if "were" is changed to "was" when the declaration is finalized.
6. The third-party declaration appears to have a blank date and no visible signature in the copy reviewed. If it is intended as a pre-signature draft, this may be expected, but please check that the final version has the intended date and signature before it is used.

Court Ready Review is happy to help you make these corrections, or you may make the corrections yourself. We can also efile the documents for you, or you may efile them directly at EFileSystem.com.

Please call us at 661-258-3769 if you would like our help.

Warmly,

Carla Renee Rivera
Court Ready Review

P.S. I thought a checklist might help you, so I've added one at no extra charge.

██████████ v. ██████████ - Checklist

- ☐ Check whether the Fort Worth ZIP code on the third-party declaration should be 76179.
- ☐ Check whether the compensation amount on the third-party declaration should match the payment records totaling \$1,390.
- ☐ Check whether FL-320, FL-150, and the Supplemental Declaration were omitted from the review copy or should be removed from the declaration's document list.
- ☐ Check whether the FL-105 case number should be made consistent with ██████████
- ☐ Change "were" to "was" in the compensation sentence if that is the intended wording.
- ☐ Check that the final third-party declaration contains the intended date and signature before use.

Please note: Court Ready Review is not a law firm, and our team members are not attorneys. This review is not legal advice. We looked only for apparent formatting, spelling, grammar, and consistency issues in the documents. We did not evaluate the legal claims, defenses, strategy, or whether the documents state or rely on the correct legal theories. Questions about legal rights, legal deadlines, legal procedures, or the legal effect of any document should be directed to a licensed attorney.